Application No: 14/1242C

Location: FORMER ARCLID HOSPITAL SITE, NEWCASTLE ROAD, ARCLID

Proposal: Proposed housing development consisting of 83no 2 and 2.5 storey 1, 2,

3 & 4 bedroom semi detached/mews and detached dwellings

Applicant: Mr Stephen Miller, Morris Homes Limited

Expiry Date: 20-Jun-2014

SUMMARY RECOMMENDATION

• APPROVE subject to Section 106 Agreement and Conditions

MAIN ISSUES

Planning Policy Access Landscape Design/Layout Amenity

REFERRAL

This application is referred to Southern Planning Committee because it is a small scale major development and a departure from the Development Plan.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is that of the former Arclid Hospital that was demolished in the late 1990's. The site comprises approximately 3.4ha of previously developed land and is located to the northeast of the A534 / A50 junction, in the village of Arclid. It is adjacent to housing to the east, farmland to the south and ribbon of development fronting the A50 within the open countryside. The south west of the site is abutted by a restaurant and to the west side of the A50 is open countryside. A group of trees protected by a Tree Preservation Order (TPO) are located along the boundary with the A50. Arclid is a small settlement with only a petrol filling station/shop, a large agriculture engineering sales/workshop unit, a restaurant, small offices in a former chapel, and a council tip.

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

This is a full application for the erection of 81 houses. Access would be taken off Newcastle Road (A50). Davenport Lane would be re-aligned to improve the safety of the junction with Spark Lane (A538).

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

Very extensive site history but most recent:-

10/1575C – Extension to time limit: Development of 80 bed care home – Refused 19/08/10

PLANNING POLICIES

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework

Local Policy

The relevant policies of the Cheshire East Local Plan Strategy – Submission Version are:

SD 1 Sustainable Development in Cheshire East

SD 2 Sustainable Development Principles

SE 1 Design

SE 2 Efficient Use of Land

SE 3 Biodiversity and Geodiversity

SE 4 The Landscape

SE 5 Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland

SE 9 Energy Efficient Development

SE 12 Pollution, Land Contamination and Land Instability

PG 1 Overall Development Strategy

PG 2 Settlement Hierarchy

EG1 Economic Prosperity

IN1 Infrastructure

IN2 Developer Contributions

The relevant policies saved in the Congleton Borough Local Plan First Review 2005 are:

GR1 New Development

GR2 Design

GR3 Residential Development

GR5 Landscaping

GR6 Amenity and Health

GR9 Accessibility, servicing and provision of parking

GR14 Cycling Measures

GR15 Pedestrian Measures

GR17 Car parking

GR18 Traffic Generation

GR21Flood Prevention

GR 22 Open Space Provision

NR1 Trees and Woodland

NR2 Statutory Sites (Wildlife and Nature Conservation)

NR3 Habitats

NR5 Habitats

H2 Provision of New Housing Development

H13 Affordable Housing and Low Cost Housing

DP1 Employment Allocation

Other Material Policy Considerations

The EC Habitats Directive 1992

Conservation of Habitats & Species Regulations 2010

Circular 6/2005 - Biodiversity and Geological Conservation - Statutory Obligations and Their

Impact within the Planning System

Interim Planning Statement Affordable Housing

Interim Planning Statement Release of Housing Land

OBSERVATIONS OF CONSULTEES

Environment Agency: No objections

United Utilities: Comment that it is the responsibility of the applicant to secure relationship to provide for the development.

Environmental Health: Recommend refusal on insufficient information in respect of air quality. Subject to satisfactory information being received would require conditions regarding piling, environmental management plan, and contamination.

Jodrell Bank: Have requested the incorporation of certain materials into the buildings to negate adverse electromagnetic issues.

Education: No comments received at the time of writing this report.

POS Officer: No comments received at the time of writing this report.

Highways: Comment as follows:

- The access road into the site still does not have a footway on each side.
- Parking is difficult to assess and current authority standards require 3 car spaces for 4-bed dwellings in a rural area such as this.
- The flats are acceptable at 100% provision as they are one-bed units.
- The layout shows all of the carriageways to be of a width where two footways are required by design.
- There is no hierarchy to the proposed layout and pedestrians have no priority in any area of the proposed layout.
- The site does have strong merit with its treatment of Davenport Lane where the improvement particularly to the junction with the A534 will provide significant local betterment and benefit highway safety.
- The extra off-street parking for the existing residents looks adequate to at 16 spaces.
- The private drives to plots 6 & 83 require tracking for refuse vehicle.

VIEWS OF THE PARISH / TOWN COUNCIL

Supports the application for housing on the former hospital site and the associated change of planning from commercial to residential and believe the proposed provision of gas and the improvements of the junction between Davenport Lane and the A534 (Spark Lane), the provision of the footpath and widening of Davenport Lane and the provision of the play area and green space are all positive improvements for the local area. They are concerned about proposed access on to Davenport Lane for 11 new properties and the position of the "pump station" for the foul sewage.

Although some parking space has been included in the application to "relocate" the vehicles currently using the area of Davenport Lane affected by the proposed new houses, the parking requirements of current residents (mainly from Heath Terrace), their visitors/delivery vehicles combined with the requirements of the new houses, their visitors and delivery vehicles is likely to exceed the parking availability (as currently used in the lane) particularly since a number of positions will be lost due to the driveways of the new houses.

Unless Cheshire East Highways and the developers can propose a solution to the above parking requirements which provides legal and safe on street and off road parking in that area and not displace the vehicles to cause impact elsewhere in the area then the council believes that the proposed access is inappropriate. It would impact the environment and potentially cause safety issues.

The Parish Council ask that the siting of the pump station be reconsidered to see if there is a better position. In its proposed position it is expected that the noise and visual aspect will impact current residents. Also the access required for maintenance vehicles and possibly for "sludge tankers" will cause further problems in Davenport Lane. The Parish Council feel that the "pump station" should be relocated to provide safer access and to avoid impact on residents.

OTHER REPRESENTATIONS

A petition signed by over 50 people has been received which states that they are not opposed in principle to the development but seek a reduction in the amount of housing with concern with access and parking onto/on Davenport lane, and to secure adequate parking for the residents of Heath Terrace. Concern is also expressed in respect of the comments of the Parish Council, the siting of the pumphouse, loss of wildlife and school provision.

A further 19 letters have been received that are a mix of objection/observation/general support. To summarise the general principle of development has been accepted but concern is expressed again over:-

- Parking/traffic/access on Davenport Lane
- Position of pump station
- Inadequate parking for Heath Terrace
- Impact on wildlife and ecology and hedgerows
- Inadequate capacity in local schools

Certain technical aspects of development are welcomed particularly elements of the house design and introduction of a gas supply to the locality.

The letters are extensive and this is a summary. The full content can be viewed on the Council website.

APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING INFORMATION:

- Flood Risk Assessment
- Design and Access Statement
- Ecological Appraisal
- Transport Assessment
- Sustainability statement.
- Noise report
- Affordable housing statement

These documents are available to view on the application file.

OFFICER APPRAISAL

Principle

The site is within the settlement zone line of Arclid that is designated by policy PS6 as a settlement in the Open Countryside. It states that limited development in accordance with policy H6 will be permitted where it is appropriate to local character in terms of use, intensity, scale and appearance. This site has sustainability issues in terms of access to local shops and services but this must be balanced against the redevelopment of previously developed land and provision of new homes.

The National Planning Policy Framework states that one of its core principles is that planning should:

"Proactively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver the **homes**, business and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving local places that the country needs. Every effort should be made to objectively identify and then meet the housing, business and other development needs of an area, and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth."

(This former hospital site was initially subject to a land allocation as an employment commitment in the Congleton Local Plan. However, this is not a saved policy.)

Affordable Housing

As this proposal is in a rural area that has a population of fewer than 3,000 and the site is larger than 0.2ha or has more than 3 dwellings on it there is a requirement for affordable housing to be provided as per the Interim Planning Statement: Affordable Housing (IPS). The site lies in the Arclid Parish close to the boundaries with Brereton and Smallwood Parishes as well as to Sandbach. Arclid is located in the Sandbach Rural sub-area in the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) Update 2013. The SHMA Update identified a need for 12 new affordable units per year between 2013/14 and 2017/18 in the Sandbach Rural sub-

area, made up of a need for 13 x 1 bed, 2 x 2 beds, 3 x 4+ beds and 2 x 1 bed older persons units. The SHMA Update shows an oversupply of 3 beds.

In addition to this information there are also 2 applicants on the housing register who have selected Arclid as their first choice, both of which require 1 beds. A rural housing needs survey was carried out for Arcild Parish in January 2013. The results show a need for potentially 3 new affordable homes. Arclid is a small parish and the housing need of the parish is lower than the proposed delivery of affordable housing on this site. However, it is the view of the Strategic Housing Manager that a brownfield site such as this should provide affordable housing for neighbouring rural locations and the wider Sandbach Rural SHMA subarea as there are limited opportunities to meet the identified need in rural areas.

Therefore there should be provision of 30% of the total dwellings as affordable, with 65% provided as social rent (affordable rent is also acceptable at this site) and 35% intermediate. This is the preferred tenure split identified in the SHMA 2010, SHMA Update 2013 and highlighted in the IPS. This equates to a requirement for 25 affordable dwellings on this site, with 16 provided as social or affordable rent and 9 provided as intermediate tenure.

The IPS requires that the affordable homes should be provided no later than occupation of 50% of the open market units, unless the development is phased and there is a high degree of pepper-potting in which case the maximum proportion of open market homes that may be provided before the provision of all the affordable units may be increased to 80%. Also, all the Affordable homes should be constructed in accordance with the Homes and Communities Agency's Design and Quality Standards (2007) and should achieve at least Level 3 of the Code for Sustainable Homes (2007). The Affordable Homes should also be integrated with the open market homes and therefore 'pepper-potted' and be tenure blind and also not be segregated in discrete or peripheral areas.

The application states that 15% (12 units) affordable housing will be provided on this site due to viability reasons. The Strategic Housing Officer has no objections to the reduced amount of affordable housing and location of the housing as long as the viability assessment is reasonable. An update will be provided in relation to the tenure of the affordable housing.

Access, parking and traffic

The Highways Officer has commented on a number of issues that seem resolvable with minor changes to the proposed layout. This includes the provision of footpaths on both sides of the internal roads and clarification on the number of car parking spaces for each plot. The provision for Heath End Terrace is generous and the applicants are to be commended on this point. It is anticipated that amended plans would be in place by the date of the meeting.

Access

The principle access is provided off the A50/Newcastle Road and a ghost island is proposed in this location.

Parking

The Highways Officer has sought more information on the exact number of car parking spaces provided on site in comparison to the number of units. The outcome of this clarification will be reported as an update.

Traffic generation

The Highways Officer has no objections in respect of traffic generation from the proposals.

Public Transport

Bus Stops are located immediate to the site on Spark Lane and Newcastle Road and would be adequate to serve the development on journeys to North, South, East and West.

Layout and Design

Houses are shown to the facing north east on to Davenport Lane and south east on to Newcastle Road. The main access roads are within the site, creating a permeable active frontage to all principle routes outside and within the development, whilst now, via amended plans, retaining the boundary hedgerow to the northwest.

Nearby development comprises a mixture of ages and architectural styles, ranging from modern suburban development to terraced cottages. There is ribbon development along Spark Lane and Newcastle Road. There is a mix in terms of materials with most dwellings being finished in simple red brick, and grey / brown slates / concrete / clay tiles.

The primary route along Davenport Lane creates a strong, active frontage. The secondary routes into and around the development would serve houses with a more informal building line and architecture and the tertiary routes are defined by predominantly detached houses. The proposed houses are two storeys high; the only single storey buildings are garages. It is considered that the proposed houses are appropriate in the existing context as they are not overly excessive in scale or mass in comparison to the surrounding buildings.

Amenity

It is generally considered that in New Residential Developments, a distance of 21m between principal windows and 13m between a principal window and a flank elevation is required to maintain an adequate standard of privacy and amenity between residential properties.

The layout and design of the site demonstrates overall that 81 dwellings could be accommodated on the site, whilst maintaining these minimum distances between existing and proposed dwellings to the rear. Distances are also respected to the houses on John Ford Way. However, the scheme falls substantially short of the recommended distances, on some plots, as little as 16m would be achieved between principal windows across an internal road. Nevertheless, the Local Planning Authority must be mindful of the need to make effective and efficient use of brownfield land in the consideration of planning applications, in order to ease pressure on Greenfield sites elsewhere in the Borough and if the minimum standards were to be achieved, it would not be possible to accommodate within the site the density of development which is currently proposed. Furthermore, modern urban design principles encourage the tightly defined streets and spaces, with parking to the rear to avoid car dominated frontages. The reduction of separation distances between front elevations helps to achieve these requirements

Landscape

The Landscape Office considers that improvements are evident on the latest revised layout (revision F), some issues remain outstanding. Greater separation from retained Pine tree T21 to the west is recommended and plot 1 would be dominated by TPO trees. Also plots 78-81 are too close to crown of retained Lime trees. Poor social proximity would result in ongoing pressure on trees and increased separation recommended. Whilst the tree is shown retained in POS, on Plot 34 the mature Sycamore tree would totally dominate the garden and the tree would also influence the garden of plot 35. Character of tree is such that it is unsuitable for such a location. Should an acceptable layout be secured, conditions would be appropriate in respect of submission and approval of levels, tree and hedge protection scheme, an Arboricultural Method Statement statement to include details of any special construction measures and arboricultural supervision, and details of service routes.

Amendments have been requested on these issues and the outcome will be reported at the meeting.

Hedgerows

The submission initially proposed a development beyond the fringes of the former employment allocation and thus the loss of the established hedgerow to the north west of the site. This was unacceptable as it would have both impinged on the open countryside and resulted in the loss of the hedgerow.

Consequently, the proposals have been revised to restrict the site cover to solely the previously developed land/former employment allocation and this is now acceptable. Plots 35 to 40 would look outwards towards the hedgerow and it is now incorporated as a feature.

Ecology

The Nature Conservation Officer has commented that in order to assess the potential loss of habitats a further botanical survey be submitted of the semi-improved grassland habitats be undertaken and include a full botanical species list and DAFOR rating for each species recorded. It is possible that a great crested newt survey and assessment of a nearby pond and bat survey may need to be undertaken. The parameters are being discussed with Officers and the outcome will be reported as part of an update report.

Air Quality

Insufficient information had initially been submitted with the application, in order to assess adequately the impact of the proposed development having regard to local air quality. However, this work has now been undertaken by the applicants and has been forwarded to the EHO for analysis.

Flood Risk/Drainage

There are no outstanding issues and the Environment Agency has no objections.

Education

The Education Officer has been consulted on the application and the comments in respect of educational provision will be reported as an update.

Public Open Space

The POS officer has been consulted and the comments in respect of proposed provision will be reported as an update.

Viability and Section 106 Matters

The developer has submitted a viability appraisal, undertaken by consultants DTZ, of the scheme. Under the provisions of the NPPF economic viability is an important material consideration. Paragraph 173 states:

Pursuing sustainable development requires careful attention to viability and costs in planmaking and decision-taking. Plans should be deliverable. Therefore, the sites and the scale of development identified in the plan should not be subject to such a scale of obligations and policy burdens that their ability to be developed viably is threatened.

It also stresses the importance of housing delivery and viability as a material planning consideration. Paragraph 173 states:

To ensure viability, the costs of any requirements likely to be applied to development, such as requirements for affordable housing, standards, infrastructure contributions or other requirements should, when taking account of the normal cost of development and mitigation, provide competitive returns to a willing land owner and willing developer to enable the development to be deliverable

One of the 12 Core Planning Principles at paragraph 17 states that planning should:

proactively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver the homes, business and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving local places that the country needs. Every effort should be made objectively to identify and then meet the housing, business and other development needs of an area, and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.

Officers are considering the viability appraisal and will report on the conclusions once clarification is received in respect of education. The IPS on Affordable Housing requires development appraisals to be independently verified by an external valuation expert (cost to be borne by the applicant). Also the Local Plan Strategy Submission Version (March 2014) in SC 5, no.7 says the Council will commission an independent review of the viability study and the developer will bear the cost. This is being considered. Also, existing and emerging policy both require overage to be paid if the financial situation changes over the life of the development.

LEVY (CIL) REGULATIONS

In order to comply with the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Regulations 2010 it is now necessary for planning applications with legal agreements to consider the issue of whether the requirements within the S106 satisfy the following:

(a) necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms;

- (b) directly related to the development; and
- (c) fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

As explained within the main report, POS and children's play space is a requirement of Local Plan Policy. It is necessary to secure these works and a scheme of management for the open space and children's play space. This contribution is directly related to the development and is fair and reasonable.

The development would result in increased demand for primary and secondary school places in the area. In order to increase capacity of the schools which would support the proposed development, a contribution towards school education may well be required. This would be considered to be necessary and fair and reasonable in relation to the development. If that is the case, and a figure duly agreed, the S106 recommendation would be compliant with the CIL Regulations 2010. An update in progress on the terms of the Section 106 will be reported to the meeting.

CONCLUSIONS

The site lies within village settlement boundary, where there is a presumption in favour of new development, subject to compliance with other local plan policies. The site is a vacant previously developed site which would be brought back into beneficial use. The proposal would also provide 81 units towards the Council's housing land supply, which will ease pressure on green field sites elsewhere within the Borough, notwithstanding the unsustainable location. It is also accepted that the proposal would not result in a detrimental impact on the supply of employment land or premises in the Borough.

There would be no adverse impact on residential amenity and it is considered that, subject to the use of appropriate materials the proposal represents a good design which respects the character and appearance of the area in which it is located can be achieved and as such it complies with policy GR2 of the Local Plan and the provisions of the NPPF in respect of design. Concerns regarding internal highway layout and the location of parking have been addressed through the submission of amended plans. Environmental Health matters of air quality, noise and contaminated land can be addressed through appropriate conditions.

The submitted viability appraisal is being scrutinised and it is considered that the developer has adequately demonstrated that this the affordable provision offered is acceptable. However, following the successful negotiation of a suitable Section 106 package, the proposed development may require further educational provision.

Concern has been raised by the highway, ecology landscape officers. These have been brought to the attention of the developer and a response was awaited at the time of report preparation. A further update will be provided on this issue to Members in due course.

Therefore the recommendation is amended to one of Approve subject to the resolution of the outstanding issues, completion of a section 106 agreement and suitable conditions.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve subject to a Section 106 to secure the following:

S106 Heads of Terms to be provided as part of an update report

and the following conditions

- 1. Time
- 2. Materials to be submitted
- 3. Approved plans
- 4. Piling details to be provided
- 5. Environmental Management Plan
- 6. Levels to be submitted and approved
- 7. Landscape to be submitted and approved
- 8. Landscape implementation
- 9. Tree/Hedge Protection
- **10. Arboricultural Method Statement**
- 11. Contamination details to be submitted and approved
- 12. Boundary Treatment Details to be submitted and approved

In order to give proper effect to the Board's/Committee's intentions and without changing the substance of the decision, authority is delegated to the Head of Strategic & Economic Planning, in consultation with the Chair (or in her absence the Vice Chair) of Southern Planning Committee, to correct any technical slip or omission in the wording of the resolution, between approval of the minutes and issue of the decision notice.



